

02-277

**From:** Blamaday@aol.com  
**To:** Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 3:56 PM  
**Subject:** Media Deregulations

Mr. Chairman and Commissioners:

In a few days you will vote on changes in ownership restriction laws for our public's media. As an American who has always believe VERY strongly in free speech - because I have a lot to say, I want to express my hope that the FCC will NOT loosen regulations in an effort to save money for large media corporations. Large media corporations choose to spend money their money in irresponsible ways, and the media should not be about making money for a few CEOs, but about providing a broad information source for the public.

This is, I'm sure, the opinion of most of this country's citizens, but lately our government makes decisions not based on citizen opinion, but on corporate interest. Please take a moment to remember what our government's role is supposed to be. Industry changes should not change the law to the extent that our freedoms are compromised.

Thank you!

Citizen in Chicago

**From:** Andrew Sahalie  
**To:** Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 4:06 PM  
**Subject:** relaxing the regulatory cap on how many TV stations a single company may own

I am concerned about the move to relax the regulatory cap on how many TV stations a single company may own.

The airwaves do not belong to the broadcasters. They do not belong to the advertisers. The owners of the broadcast airwaves, by law, are the people of the United States.

I feel that the media should not be used for the spread of tilted propaganda as it is now by the large, corporate ownership of airways by companies with political motivations.

Andrew Sahalie

---

Do you Yahoo!?  
The New Yahoo! Search - Faster. Easier. Bingo.  
<http://search.yahoo.com>

**From:** Andrew Sahalie  
**To:** Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 4:06 PM  
**Subject:** relaxing the regulatory cap on how many TV stations a single company may own

I am concerned about the move to relax the regulatory cap on how many TV stations a single company may own.

The airwaves do not belong to the broadcasters. They do not belong to the advertisers. The owners of the broadcast airwaves, by law, are the people of the United States.

I feel that the media should not be used for the spread of tilted propaganda as it is now by the large, corporate ownership of airways by companies with political motivations.

Andrew Sahalie

---

Do you Yahoo!?  
The New Yahoo! Search - Faster. Easier. Bingo.  
<http://search.yahoo.com>

**From:** Manuel G. Correia  
**To:** Kathleen Abernathy  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 4:22 PM  
**Subject:** Please Allow For Public Input Before Deregulation

Dear Commissioner Abernathy,

I am very alarmed about the current plans to change the cap on Radio station ownership.

Recent history and experience have left me and my family with less and less diversity and factual information due to very few firms owning most accessible radio and television stations. We have moved from Oklahoma to Indiana, and now to California - to no avail. The radio stations as well as TV sound and look alike, as do the allegedly informative news broadcasts.

I do not expect this to change with more deregulation, rather it will get worse.

I believe you to be entrusted to safeguard the public domain, not to sell it off to a powerful bidder.

Please take care in slowing down the process of deregulation, safeguard the public information domain against monopoly, and allow for adequate and unbiased public input as well as react accordingly to the public voice.

I see no urgent need to rush into deregulation now, other than to satisfy a political need to make changes before elections change the appointed persons entrusted with the public domain.

With great respect,

Manuel Correia

Los Angeles, California

**From:** Anne Holder  
**To:** Mike Powell  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 4:43 PM  
**Subject:** "Deregulation" of media

Dear FCC Chair Commissioner Michael Powell,

As a community college professor and personal news junkie, I am asking you to please halt any further "deregulation" of the media. The narrowness of coverage is such that most young people do not pay attention to news of any kind, and while some factions may find that disinterest beneficial, it is creating havoc with their minds and their ability to learn. While most are fairly bitter about Clear Channel, they have no idea what a constricted view of other information they receive these days.

The only thing that helped me survive the pathetic mainstream coverage of the "war" on Iraq was access to alternative sources of news, as well as some of the most brilliant analyses I've ever read--from both conservative and liberal correspondents. While for me, it was a time of genuine learning and thought, for my students on this border--most too poor to afford computers for Internet access (though some had read the Mexican newspapers)--the news was "boring" and not unlike the pep rallies they'd just left in high school. Unfortunately my subject matter didn't allow me to communicate most of what I had learned. What a sad statement of American "freedoms."

I urge you to continue the democratic tradition of broadcasting those views that conform with administrative policy as well as those that challenge it.

Thank you so much for your attention.

Anne Holder  
504 Marthmont  
El Paso TX 79912  
afhholder@yahoo.com

---

Do you Yahoo!?  
The New Yahoo! Search - Faster. Easier. Bingo.  
<http://search.yahoo.com>

**From:** Frank Higgins  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 4:57 PM  
**Subject:** Thanks!

Dear Commissioner,

Thanks for standing up to the power structure.

Please protect the public from the media monopoly which this administration is trying to advance. We need and, have the right to hear opposing and varied viewpoints in the American media. The parallels to fascism that the Bush Regime exhibits makes democracy appear to be dying as fast as communism.

The American people need to be educated and aware in order to make informed decisions. Limiting our sources of news and information is eroding away our freedom.

Sincerely  
F.X. Higgins

**From:** ahunter@vpirg.org  
**To:** Mike Powell  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 5:06 PM  
**Subject:** you must protect the public media monopoly

To: Chairman Michael K. Powell:

It's your duty to protect our citizens from monopoly

in the media. The need for free and open public discourse on public issues is needed more than ever.  
Citizens also need LOCAL access, not conglomerate control.

You have the opportunity to create far reaching policies. Listen to your public.

Thank you.

Anya Hunter

Montpelier, Vermont

**From:** Joanne Johnson  
**To:** Mike Powell  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 6:05 PM  
**Subject:** FCC deregulation proposal

Michael K. Powell

I have only just become aware of the FCC's pending vote on further deregulation of the media.

I can only think this is a sad thing for our country.

When I was in school I was taught that the airwaves were for the people and the FCC had the responsibility of regulating them.

The media is so monopolized by corporate and commercial business that I simply cannot believe the FCC would consider further deregulation.

I sincerely hope the commissioners of the FCC will consider the people of the United States and the airwaves that we thought belonged to us.

Please keep them open to all and not owned and programmed only by the corporations.

We all lose with more deregulation.

With deregulation lose our artists, our culture, our creativity, our independence.

Most sincerely,  
Joanne Johnson

---

Tired of spam? Get advanced junk mail protection with MSN 8.  
<http://join.msn.com/?page=features/junkmail>



**From:** Thomas Thomas  
**To:** Mike Powell, FCC FCCINFO, olympia@snowe.senate.gov, john\_mccain@mccain.senate.gov, mailbox@sununu.senate.gov, senator@nickles.senate.gov, kit\_bond@bond.senate.gov, senator\_talent@talent.senate.gov, wyr.fl06@mail.house.gov, elizabeth.o'hare@mail.house.gov  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 6:37 PM  
**Subject:** Please explain to me about Biennial Review of Media Ownership

Chairman Michael K. Powell

Please explain to me about Biennial Review of Media Ownership. I have tried to understand what the issue is about. From my reading it has to do with allowing more concentration of media ownership in America. If this true can you please explain to me why as a consumer of media this is good for me?

I have noticed that in the radio industry the only thing this concentration of ownership has done for me is made the FM dial overwhelmed with fundamental Christian stations trying to convince me to send them money to prevent my otherwise inevitable decent into hell while they are trying to block out everything on their end of the spectrum which usually are godless community based or public radio stations (which action, I am sure if I was just smarter I would realize it, is for my own good). However, I don't think this a great leap forward and if is this what allowing more concentration of ownership going to bring us more of, I am opposed.

The AM side of the dial which except for baseball games is virtually worthless because talk radio has taken over with very loud whites guys who only seem to be distinguishable by different levels of stupidity. The host usually is a racist, homophobic and chauvinist that is basically allowed with impunity to say anything he want as long as he uses the word liberal a lot. If more concentration of media ownership is going to give me more of this, please explain again why this is good?

I read that 17000 citizens have written to state their position, I find it hard to believe that many of these did not come from special interest groups since this issue has received almost no national media coverage (that in itself is a little curious). I am wondering if you get many citizens commenting that they think more concentration of media is good? I am sure that is what all the guys down at the Dew Drop Inn talk about. They are clamoring to have fewer and fewer different opinions from the media. Maybe there are American citizens out there that want to have fewer opinions so life isn't so confusing for them but I doubt that this demographic writes many letters.

Thank you for your attention and please don't forget to tell me why more concentration of media ownership in America is good for me.

Long live Rupert Murdoch.

--  
Thomas Thomas  
ION Systems, Inc  
107 Mississippi Ave  
Crystal City, MO 63019

636/937-9094  
thomast@ionsystems.com

**CC:** KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein, Michael Copps, Kathleen Abernathy

**From:** Halfwog@aol.com  
**To:** Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 6:43 PM  
**Subject:** NO MEDIA MONOPOLIES!!

To whom it may concern:

A free country demands a free press. Do not allow the media conglomerates to control the media and destroy America's right to free speech.

Best,  
Claire Clarke  
Phoenix, AZ  
halfwog@aol.com

**From:** deborahleebe@attbi.com  
**To:** Mike Powell  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 6:55 PM  
**Subject:** FCC Deregulation

I oppose the continued reregulation of the Communications Industry. It is already difficult to find any accuracy in reporting from any of the "media giants." Control of the airwaves is a means of controlling the national agenda by individuals with an agenda. Keep debate alive and America free.

Thank you.

Deborah Beck

--

"Let them call me a rebel and I welcome it, I feel no concern from it; but I should suffer the misery of demons were I to make a whore of my soul."

--Thomas Paine

Deb

**From:** Marie Grace  
**To:** Mike Powell  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 7:15 PM  
**Subject:** Preserve Diversity and Media Ownership Limits - DO NOT Remove Remaining Regulatory Limits on Corporations

Marie Grace  
49 Fillmer  
Los Gatos, CA 95032

April 30, 2003

Chairman, Federal Communications Commission Michael Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Chairman, Federal Communications Commission Powell:

The FCC must NOT further weaken the rules that help preserve competition and diversity among the owners of American media.

I am writing to you today to comment on Docket No. 02-277, The Biennial Review of the FCC's broadcast media ownership rules. In its goals to promote competition, diversity and localism in today's media market, I strongly believe that the FCC should retain all of the current media ownership rules now in question. These rules serve the public interest by limiting the market power of already huge companies in the broadcast industry.

The FCC is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal of or further modification to these rules will likely open the door to more mergers that will continue to reduce competition and diversity in the media. If the rules are weakened further, one company in a city could control the most popular newspaper, TV station and possibly the cable system, giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of news and information. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in this country. Media ownership would be concentrated by corporate monopolies even further, and the public's ability to have open, informed discussion with diverse viewpoints would be compromised.

I do not believe that the studies commissioned by the FCC accurately demonstrate the negative affects media deregulation and consolidation have had on media diversity. While there may be indeed be more sources of media than ever before, the spectrum of views presented have become more limited.

The right to carry on informed debate and discussion of current events is part of the founding philosophy of our nation. Our forefathers believed that democracy was best served by a diverse marketplace of ideas. If the FCC allows our media outlets to merge, our ability to have open, informed discussion with a wide variety of viewpoints will be compromised.

The public interest will best be served by preserving media ownership rules in question in this proceeding.

I think it is important for the FCC to not only consider the points of view of those with a financial interest in this issue, but also those with a social or civic interest.

With the serious impact these rule changes will have on our democracy, it is incumbent on the Commission to take the time to review these issues more thoroughly and allow the American people to have a meaningful say in the process.

Sincerely,

Marie Grace

**From:** Mike Keefe-Feldman  
**To:** Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 7:32 PM  
**Subject:** No More Media Deregulation

Dear FCC,

I'm sure you're aware that your upcoming decision on whether or not to completely eliminate governmental restrictions on the number of media outlets that one company can own is of the utmost importance. I am a reporter with a small newspaper in Missoula, MT, and I am concerned about media conglomeration. In the past year, we in Montana have seen Lee Newspapers, Clear Channel and other out-of-state corporations take control of our media outlets. In my mind, Clear Channel's 1,200 radio stations are enough. If you overturn our regulations, I understand that Clear Channel could own not only thousands more stations, but also TV stations, newspapers, magazines and Internet news sites. Of course, this would mean less info-diversity within our media. This is not free-market capitalism; we all know it's a rigged game where the 50 largest media companies spend \$111.3 million to influence Congress and the executive branch between 1996 and 2000.

Clear Channel CEO Lowry Mays actually said recently, in an interview with Fortune Magazine, that "We're not in the business of providing news and information. We're not in the business of providing well-researched music. You've heard Viacom (CBS) Fox and NBC/Telemundo argue "[There's] no longer any public-interest need served by the Commission's ownership rules." Well there is still a need for these ownership rules, darn it. For anyone who's tired of hearing the same Britney Spears song over and over again, or for anyone who is tired of points of view outside of the mainstream being dismissed by the popular media, there is, in fact, an OBVIOUS need for ownership rules, particularly when we're dealing with owners who talk about their news and music only in terms of "product." If news stations aren't to serve the public interest in this country any more, I'm not sure I want to live in the US, and if you ask yourselves, deep down, I don't think you'd want to live in such a country either. If you dismantle our last remaining protection against media oligarchy, the result may be "monoculture," and the words of Tom Petty will unfortunately ring true: "There goes the last DJ/Who plays what he wants to play/And says what he wants to say/There goes the last human voice/There goes your freedom of choice."

Thanks for taking the time to read this, and I hope you will ensure that our media, and indeed, our democracy, remains healthy by upholding the FCC provisions that keep the public's airwaves from falling into the hands of the privileged few.

Sincerely,  
Michael Keefe-Feldman  
Missoula Independent  
115 South 4th West  
Missoula, MT 59801

**From:** Lakings10@aol.com  
**To:** Mike Powell  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 8:04 PM  
**Subject:** radio station ownership

I am not at all in favor of the new proposed changes to allow one owner to own more radio stations. This will definitely limit the amount of local programming. Even now in Baltimore when I listen to local news on Radio or TV it all sounds jus tlike the national news. Very little local programming and local news other than on PBS stations....  
thank you for allowing my input.  
Elizabeth H. Kingsman



**From:** Lakings10@aol.com  
**To:** Mike Powell  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 8:15 PM  
**Subject:** deregulation of cable TV

I know you believe that deregulation of cable TV will provide for more competition and lower pricing for the consumer. I for one have not seen that to be the case in the past 3 years. In fact our only cable choice has been for many years Comcast. Since 1982 the price for basic expanded cable ( without any "premium channels") from Comcast has tripled in twenty years. From \$14.95 to \$47.50!!!! And today we received a notice that our \$47.50 cable bill will now increase to almost \$50.00/month!!!! Another 4% increase. So where is the savings??? and the competition??? Our choice here in Howard County, MD, in fact in most of the eastern US, is: Comcast or no cable... some competition!!!!

Thanks for not much help at all. From where I stand it appears that business, not the consumer is your main focus! If you were an elected official I would be voting for someone else and in fact will be voting for the Democrats in the 2004 election!!!!

Respectfully,  
Elizabeth H. Kingsman

**From:** Nancya0624@aol.com  
**To:** Mike Powell  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 8:52 PM  
**Subject:** deregulation of media companies

Dear Mr. Powell,

I urge you to initiate a public comment on the proposed changes regarding regulation of media companies and to delay decision to beyond June to allow for an appropriate public comment period. I believe that I should have a right to speak on this serious matter.

Nancy Hiestand  
526 South Campus Way  
Davis, CA 95616

**From:** Lisa Sligh Raven  
**To:** Mike Powell  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 10:00 PM  
**Subject:** deregulation of media

Dear Mr Powell:

At this time, more than ever, it should be obvious that deregulating the media has been a dangerous and utter failure. We have seen the collapse of the free press, and the rise of propaganda as a result where the news media is concerned. We have seen black listing of music artists simply as a result of their personal opinions that are not only similar, but an exact replica of the McCarthy era, by Clear Channel who is in control of the radio waves! We have seen large corporations that have no knowledge of entertainment or the arts swallow up television stations, the vast amount of radio stations being controlled by Clear Channel, Movie studios going the same route.

There may be some kinds of businesses that are appropriate to deregulate, however, the entertainment business is not one of them. The media and press, no way. The results thus far have shown that we need to return to regulation, not to further deregulation.

If there is any hope to ensure freedom of the press, and to avoid monopolizing the media, there must be a move back to regulate them now, before it is too late.

A Very Concerned Citizen,

Lisa Sligh Raven

**From:** ShervSniec@aol.com  
**To:** Mike Powell, KM KJMWEB, Kathleen Abernathy  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 10:11 PM  
**Subject:** FCC vote

Dear Commissioners:

Re: Upcoming FCC vote on media deregulation.

Further consolidation of the media in the name of "deregulation" must be halted. The media companies have failed in their public trust to provide unbiased information about most crucial issues, most notably the recent coverage of the war in Iraq.

As an American concerned about our democracy, I call on you to challenge the media conglomerates, to open the broadcast spectrum to a diverse range of journalists and opinions, and to reinstate the Fairness Doctrine. Oppose media deregulation.

Sincerely,  
Judith Sherven  
Judith Sherven, Ph.D. & Jim Sniechowski, Ph.D.  
authors of

BE LOVED FOR WHO YOU REALLY ARE

(Renaissance/St. Martin's Press 2001)

(Paperback edition, Griffin Books 2003)

and

The New Intimacy, & Opening to Love 365 Days a Year

Visit our website at [www.themagicofdifferences.com](http://www.themagicofdifferences.com)

**From:** Lisa Sligh Raven  
**To:** Kathleen Abernathy  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 10:16 PM  
**Subject:** further deregulation of media

Dear Madame,

Now, more than ever, we must reconsider the actions that have already occurred as far as deregulating our media. It has proven to be a disaster! What is needed is not further deregulation, but a return to regulation!!

So far networks have been snatched up by huge corporations, that have no knowledge of the arts or entertainment business! Their only interest being to manipulate the opinions and beliefs of Americans. There is no longer a genuine free press, those who were once embraced for their investigative abilities, and findings no matter the negative consequences to politicians and presidents alike over the course of history, who did their sworn duty to bring us the TRUTH at all costs, are now fired and denigrated. There is no longer room for difference of opinion!

Artists are now being blacklisted by Clear Channel controlled radio simply for their personal opinions.

None of this represents in any way, what America IS. NONE OF THIS REPRESENTS FREEDOM, FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS, FAIRNESS, LIBERTY, OR ANYTHING CLOSE TO WHAT OUR FOREFATHERS FOUGHT FOR. NOT EVEN CLOSE. WHAT IT DOES REPRESENT IS JUST THE OPPOSITE.....

Let us not be hypocrites, let us not cry "Operation Freedom" in Iraq, while further destroying what freedom we have here at home!!!!

WHAT WE NEED IS A RETURN TO REGULATION OF THE MEDIA.  
NOT FURTHER DEREGULATION!!!!

A Deeply Concerned, Freedom Loving American Citizen,

Lisa Sligh Raven

**From:** Leanna Heiman  
**To:** Mike Powell  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 10:19 PM  
**Subject:** Upcoming FCC vote on media deregulation

Dear Michael Powell,

Further consolidation of the media in the name of "deregulation" must be halted. The media companies have failed in their public trust to provide unbiased information about most crucial issues, most notably the recent coverage of the war in Iraq.

As an American concerned about our democracy, I call on you to challenge the media conglomerates, to open the broadcast spectrum to a diverse range of journalists and opinions, and to reinstate the Fairness Doctrine. Oppose media deregulation.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Leanna Heiman

4541 Pensacola Street

Shasta Lake, CA 96019

Email: [leanna\\_heiman@hotmail.com](mailto:leanna_heiman@hotmail.com)

**From:** Jim Alexander  
**To:** Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 10:20 PM  
**Subject:** Strongly opposed to relaxation of ownership rules

Dear Commissioners,

I would like to add my voice to the strong and growing opposition to efforts to relax media ownership rules.

Vast segments of our society still receive most or all of their information about the outside world through traditional media sources. Whatever other effects may obtain, it is clear that a relaxation of ownership rules will lead to even greater homogeneity in the information accessible to citizens.

I implore you to set aside parochial interests for the good of our country's long-term health as a republic.

Sincerely,

Jim F. Alexander, Jr.  
7500 Kirby Drive, #932  
Houston, Texas 77030-4338

**From:** Judith Toor  
**To:** Mike Powell  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 10:40 PM  
**Subject:** No Corporate monopolies of the Press

So many of us are fully aware of the immediate threat of huge corporate monopolies completely taking over the media in this country. The American public and citizenry surely deserves better than their media being used simply as propaganda sources. The only way to see that this does not occur is to stop the current monopolizing of our free media speech and news by a few. This is to me a line drawn in the sand. No country can be democratic or free if its media is owned by a few!!!!!!

Judith Toor  
Pewaukee, WI 53972



**From:** ESchuman@aol.com  
**To:** Mike Powell  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 10:57 PM  
**Subject:** Ownership Rules

RE Proposed ownership rule change:

More outlets are NOT an answer, when there are FEWER POINTS OF VIEW. You are choking democracy.

Do NOT allow ownership by a few of even MORE stations.

Chairman Powell, you work for the public; don't conduct business in secret. Publish and widely publicize proposed changes; delay decisions so as not to hide your plan from us -- the owners of the airways. We, not you, will decide what's best for us.

**From:** Dweiss2002@cs.com  
**To:** Kathleen Abernathy  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 11:48 PM  
**Subject:** \*NO\* on Deregulation

Dear Commissioner:

Regarding the upcoming FCC vote, further consolidation of the media in the false name of "deregulation" must be halted and in fact reversed. TV and radio news in the hands of a handful of profit-driven corporations has undermined our democracy more than any other modern force except the high cost of broadcast commercials during elections. The media companies have failed in their public trust to provide crucial unbiased information to the public about most public issues, most notably the drive to war in Iraq. As an American concerned about our democracy, I call on you to break up the media conglomerates, to open the spectrum to a wide diversity of organizations and independent journalists, and to reinstate the Fairness Doctrine.

Thank you,

Daniel Weiss  
18 Edgewood Lane  
Burlington, VT 05401

**From:** Dweiss2002@cs.com  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 11:49 PM  
**Subject:** \*NO\* on Deregulation

Dear Commissioner:

Regarding the upcoming FCC vote, further consolidation of the media in the false name of "deregulation" must be halted and in fact reversed. TV and radio news in the hands of a handful of profit-driven corporations has undermined our democracy more than any other modern force except the high cost of broadcast commercials during elections. The media companies have failed in their public trust to provide crucial unbiased information to the public about most public issues, most notably the drive to war in Iraq. As an American concerned about our democracy, I call on you to break up the media conglomerates, to open the spectrum to a wide diversity of organizations and independent journalists, and to reinstate the Fairness Doctrine.

Thank you,

Daniel Weiss  
18 Edgewood Lane  
Burlington, VT 05401

**From:** Richard W. Irwin  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Wed, Apr 30, 2003 11:56 PM  
**Subject:** Comments to the Commissioner

Richard W. Irwin (ricky@reelradio.com) writes:

Dear Commissioner Adelstein,

I had a 30-year career in radio, from the age of 14. The Internet and computers became my livelihood in 1995.

I am writing to let you know that I strongly oppose further deregulation of the ownership of local radio licenses. Terrible things have happened across America since the 1996 Telecomm Act. Tens of thousands of radio jobs have been lost. In what kind of "free market" do you own your competitors?

No sane person would believe that diversity is increased when ownership isn't regulated.

The Commission is about to vote in the wrong direction - to further deregulate license ownership. I am asking you to do what you can to keep the deregulation nightmare from becoming a radio Armageddon.

Thank you,

Richard Irwin  
Sacramento, California

---

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1  
Remote host: 12.246.46.48  
Remote IP address: 12.246.46.48